

REMARKS

Claim Status

Claims 1, 3, 4 and 6 are pending in the present application.

Rejections Under 35 USC §103(a)

Pages 3 - 5 of the Office Action indicate that Claims 1, 4, and 6 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over U.S. Patent No. 6,880,191 issued to Bristor (hereinafter “Bristor”) in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,797,489 issued to Baker (hereinafter “Baker”).

Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection. Bristor purports to relate to a spray caddy for storing and transporting chemicals and cleaning accessories. Baker purports to relate to a paintbrush case.

In order to sustain an obviousness rejection, the prior art references must teach or suggest all the claim limitations. [MPEP 2142]

Neither Bristor nor Bristor in view of Baker teach or suggest a kit for cleaning a vehicle which includes *inter alia* a carrying case having a front side which includes an opening wherein the opening is covered with an air permeable material so as to facilitate drying of the spraying device and its components after use. Hence, Claims 1, 4, and 6 of the instant application are unobvious over Bristor in view of Baker. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of this rejection.

Pages 5 and 6 of the Office Action indicates that Claim 1 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over U.S. Patent No. 6,562,142 issued to Barger et al. (hereinafter “Barger et al.”) in view of Baker. Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection. Barger et al. relates to a system and method for cleaning and/or treating a surface.

Neither Barger et al. nor Barger et al. in view of Baker teaches *inter alia* a carrying case having a front side which includes an opening wherein the opening is covered with an air permeable material so as to facilitate drying of the spraying device and its components after use. Hence, as Claim 1 is unobvious over Barger et al. in view of Baker, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of this rejection.

Page 6 of the Office Action indicates that Claim 3 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Bristor in view of Baker and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,192,543 issued to Lee (hereinafter “Lee”). Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection. Lee purports to relate to a cleaning mitt which provides a mild cleaning surface and a more abrasive cleaning surface.

Bristor in view of Baker and further in view of Lee does not teach *inter alia* a carrying case having a front side which includes an opening wherein the opening is covered with an air permeable material so as to facilitate drying of the spraying device and its components after use. Hence, as Claim 3 is unobvious over Bristor in view of Baker and further in view of Lee, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of this rejection.

SUMMARY

This is responsive to the Office Action dated March 24, 2009. As the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §103 have been overcome, Applicants respectfully request allowance of Claims 1, 3 – 4, and 6.

Respectfully submitted,

THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY

By: /Julia A. Glazer/
Julia A. Glazer
Registration No.: 41,783
(513) 983 - 7989

Dated: June 24, 2009
Customer No. 27752